UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/776,188	02/12/2004	Peter James Jenkins	08505.0020	3089	
22852 7590 01/31/2007 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP			EXAMINER		
			PESELEV, ELLI		
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413		<b>£</b>	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		U	1623		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS		01/31/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		•		- 1		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/776,188	JENKINS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Elli Peselev	1623			
Period fo	<ul> <li>The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply</li> </ul>	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 De	ecember 2006.				
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>8-24,26-29 and 39-41</u> is/are pending i 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>8,11-24,26-29 and 39-41</u> is/are rejected Claim(s) <u>9 and 10</u> is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	·				
12) 🔲 a) [	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	• •					
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔀 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/776,188

Art Unit: 1623

Claims 8, 11-24, 26-29 and 39-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the treatment of diabetes with Gibberellin A3 and Gibberellin A3 and A4/A7 mixture, does not reasonably provide enablement for the treatment of diabetes with Gibberellins of Formula (1). The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

A conclusion of lack of enablement means that, based on the evidence regarding each of the factors below, the specification, at the time the application was filed, would not have taught one skilled in the art how to make and/or use the full scope of the claimed invention without undue experimentation.

(A) The nature of the invention.

Drug discovery is one of the most labor intensive and expensive types of inventions; it can cost over \$500 million to bring a single new drug to market.

(B) The state of the prior art.

The art is unaware of successful treatment of diabetes with chemically analogous compounds.

(C) The predictability or lack thereof in the art.

"In applications directed to inventions in arts where the results are unpredictable, the disclosure of a single species usually does not provide an adequate basis to support generic claims" (see MPEP 2164.03). In the present case, the specification presents data showing the effect on blood glucose levels of Gibberellin A3. Based on the

Art Unit: 1623

evidence of activity limited to Gibberellin A3, it cannot be predicted what other Gibberellins having diverse structural formulas encompassed by the present claims will have similar effect on blood glucose levels as Gibberellin A3.

(D) The amount of direction or guidance present.

The specification discloses a single specific compound and said compound with A4/A7 mixture which has a blood sugar lowering activity. However, this guidance is not commensurate with the full scope of the claims.

(E) Breadth of the claims.

The claims encompass an immense number of species having significant differences in structural formulas. For example, a compound of Formula (1) wherein R1, R2, R3, R4, R5, R6, R7, R8, R9, R10 and R11 are hydrogens is significantly different structurally from the compound of Formula (I) wherein R1, R2, R3, R5, R7, R8 and R10 are glycosylic ether groups, R4 is C20 alkyl, R6 and R10 are hydroxy groups.

(F) The quantity of experimentation needed.

Because there is no way to predict a priori which compounds will be active from the specification or chemical structures alone, an extraordinary amount of trial and error experimentation is required to identify the active compounds.

Applicant's arguments filed December 12, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant contends that the examiner has neglected to consider the disclosed Gibberellin A 4 and A7. This argument has not been found persuasive. The specification fails to disclose treatment of diabetes with Gibberellin A4 or A7 but

Art Unit: 1623

discloses the treatment of diabetes with a mixture of Gibberellin A3 with Gibberellin A4 and/or A7. Further note that Gibberellins A3, A4 and A7 have closely related structural formulas (see columns 1-2 of the U.S. Patent No. 6,287,800). However, the present claims encompass compounds having major variations in structural formulas. For example, R1 together with R2 forms a double bond in the structural formulas for Gibberellins A3 and A7 and in Gibberellin A4, R1 and R2 are hydrogens. However, the present claims encompass compounds wherein R1 is hydroxyl, glycoside ether or alkyl ether and R2 is a glycoside ether. The present claims encompass an immense number of species. The disclosure of three specific species having closely related structural formulas is not commensurate with the full scope of the claimed invention.

Claims 9 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/776,188

Art Unit: 1623

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elli Peselev whose telephone number is (571) 272-0659. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00-4.30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shaojia Jiang can be reached on (571) 272-0627. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Elli Peselev

ELLI PESELEV PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200

Page 5